UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION IX

75 HAWTHORNE STREET SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94105

XX FILED YY

		The state of the s
)	03JAN2017 - 02:06PM U.S.EPA - Region 09
In the Matter of:	į.	Docket No. FIFRA-09-2017-0001
Syngenta Seeds, LLC)	DEODOMDENITIS I DIODDOSED
d/b/a Syngenta Hawaii, LLC	,)	RESPONDENT'S UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR EXTENSION
Respondent.)	OF TIME TO FILE ITS ANSWER
)	

Respondent Syngenta Seeds, LLC, through its undersigned counsel, hereby respectfully requests an extension of time of thirty (30) days to file its Answer to the Complaint. Counsel for Respondent has consulted with EPA Counsel Christina Cobb who does not oppose the relief requested herein. In support of its motion, Respondent states as follows:

- 1. On December 14, 2016, Complainant filed its Complaint in this case.
- On December 19, 2016, Respondent received a copy of the Complaint via certified mail at Respondent's headquarters address in Minnesota.
- 3. Under 40 CFR Part 22, The Consolidated Rules of Practice Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties, Issuance of Compliance or Corrective Action Orders, and the Revocation, Termination or Suspension of Permits (CROP), Respondent's Answer to the Complaint would be due January 23, 2017. See 40 CFR §§ 22.15(a), and 22.7(a) and (c).
- 4. On December 22, 2016, Jon Jacobs, Counsel for Respondents, conferred with Christina Cobb, Counsel for Complainant, regarding an extension of time for Respondent to file its Answer to the Complaint. Counsel for Plaintiff stated that she would not oppose Respondent's request to enlarge the

time for filing the Answer by thirty (30) days. The motion is timely and well in advance of the due date,

for good cause, and does not prejudice Complainant. See 40 CFR § 22.7(b).

5. The relief requested herein is for good cause and will not result in undue delay in the

administration of this case. The Complaint raises complex issues of law. An enlargement of time for

filing Respondent's Answer is necessary due to Counsel's and Client's long-planned international travel

and EPA's response to a pending FOIA request that is expected to provide records that will help

determine the scope and nature of Respondent's Answer.

6. No other enlargements of time have been previously requested in this case. No dates have been

set for a pre-hearing conference or hearing.

7. Since the Complaint was not served by overnight or same-day delivery, 5 days shall be added to

the time allowed the Respondent to file its Answer. 40 CFR § 22.7(c). The Complaint was served on

Dec. 19, 2016. Adding 5 days to respond, as provided for in 40 CFR § 22.7(c), results in the Answer

due on January 23, 2017. A 30-day extension to that due date is: Feb. 22, 2017.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, Respondent respectfully moves the Presiding Officer to extend the

time for Respondent to file its Answer to the Complaint to February 22, 2017.

Dated: December 23, 2017

Respectfully submitted,

Jacobs Stotsky PLLC

RV

Jøn D. Jacobs (DCB #368919)

Jacobs Stotsky PLLC

1629 K Street, NW

Suite 300

Washington, D.C. 20006

(202)508-3886 Office

(703)740-7986 Mobile

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a true copy of the foregoing RESPONDENT'S UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE ITS ANSWER along with a PROPOSED ORDER was placed in the United States Postal Service, pre-paid first-class mail, and addressed to the following:

Regional Hearing Clerk U.S. EPA, Region IX 75 Hawthorne St. San Francisco, CA 94105

And

Christina Cobb, Esq.
Attorney-Advisor
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. (MC: 2843)
Washington, D.C. 20460

DATE: December 23, 2016

Jon D. Jacobs